HYDRODYNAMIC LOAD ON THE BUILDING CAUSED BY OVERTOPPING WAVES
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Wide crested dike can reduce the kinetic energy of the overtopping wave and make the overtopping wave to flow back to the seaside. If a coastal town were built on or near a dike, the overtopping wave running on the dike crest generates the force which can affect the buildings located in its path. However, quantifying the hydrodynamic load on the building caused by overtopping waves is not straightforward because little empirical formulas are given in literature for this kind of configuration. Therefore, physical scale model research was carried out. The purpose of this research is to come up with a relationship describing the force on a vertical wall exerted by the overtopping wave as a function of wave parameters and geometrical characteristics.
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INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters such as earthquakes, tsunamis, and floods have occurred frequently in the past decades. For example, the flooding in Japan caused by a tsunami on 11 March 2011, swept away boats, houses and cars along the north-eastern Japanese coast. In late August 2005, flooding due to hurricane Katrina also left more than 204,000 homes in Louisiana uninhabitable, damaged, or destroyed (FEMA, 2006). And in 2004, the tsunami wave caused by an earthquake in Indonesia, one of the biggest natural disasters in recent human history, killed at least 290,000 people and affected the livelihood of millions of people in more than ten countries.

Existing building codes, design practices and disaster planning methods pay little attention to flood load, related to earthquakes and wind impact on buildings. This is mainly because the buildings are always built far from the coastal line or the flood defense structure (Wilson et al, 2009), but tsunami and storm surge may bring the waves inland. If the structures are built on top of the crest of the sea dike, the overtopping wave caused by tsunami, storm surge or other forms of waves occurring in coastal areas might result in hydrodynamic loads on them. By far, little research has been done involving the overtopping waves impacting upon the buildings.

During the storm season, this is for example the case at the Belgian coast where houses and hotels are constructed on top of the dike, see Figure 1. This paper presents results from the physical model test which aims to understand the behaviors of overtopping wave caused by storm surge and its hydrodynamic load on buildings.

Figure 1. Schematic of overtopping on the wide dike
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EXPERIMENTAL TEST

Physical model set-up

The physical model tests were executed on a schematized model scaled 1/30 (Chen, 2011). The scaled model is simplified to clarify the basic hydraulic characteristics. The simplified model consists of two parts: a schematized sea dike and a schematized building (a vertical plate), referring to Figure 2a. This “building” was secured to the both sides of the wave flume. Several aspects have been excluded in the model, such as the foreshore profile, sea walls, and the slope of the crest and the roughness of the crest.

The tests were performed in a 2D small wave flume (length 32 m, width 0.70 m, height 0.86 m) in the Flanders Hydraulics Research laboratory (Antwerp, Belgium) under regular wave condition which generated by a piston type wave generator. The testing process involved impacting the vertical plane with a series of waves and recording the force by one load cell, four pressure sensors (P1, P2, P3, P4), overtopping wave maximum surface elevation by two wave probes and incident wave surface elevation by six wave gauges. Due to time constraint, the number of tests had to be restricted and so only a limited number of parameters could be varied. Despite these restrictions, the experiments revealed the impact process of overtopping wave very well under the two dike configurations including the dike side case and the inland case with a dike crest width of 0.5 meter in the model scale (referring to Figure 2b).

Figure 2. Schematic of overtopping on the wide dike

Figure 3. Sketch of load cell, pressure sensors and integrating method
Data Acquisition
The data were collected by a 13 channel-real time data acquisition system. In the present study, the water surface elevation was measured by six wave gauges and two wave probes with a sampling rate of 20 Hz. Note that the water surface elevation is not the key research target, so the highest sample rates for wave gauges didn’t explore in this study.

In order to determine the sampling rate of load cell and pressure sensors, three series tests were done by the same regular wave conditions for the dike side case, with water level 0.6 m, wave height 0.06 m and wave periods 1.8s. The sampling rates of load cell and pressure sensors were varied among 100 Hz, 200 Hz and 500 Hz. Both of the signals of the load cell and pressure sensors reveal that the higher sampling rates can capture larger peak values; however, the difference among the peaks of the three sample rates was not obvious. Due to limitations in time and capacity of data acquisition system, the sampling rate was kept at 200 Hz to allow for acquisition of load data during the trials. The sampling duration was nominally 120 seconds.

RESULTS
Observations of raw data
Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the sample signals of the force time series for overtopping waves with wave period of 1.54 seconds for both the dike side and the inland cases.

In order to verify the repeatability of the test, the load cell force time series signals for the two tests are given in Figure 4 and 5 (black line and red dash line). Comparing the signals, it appears that there is a much better repeatability for regular wave tests in the dike side case than the inland case.

In order to verify the reliability of test result, the measured force signals from load cell are compared with pressure sensors by integrating method (see Figure 3). For the dike side case, the force signals from the two different instruments match quite well, but it is clear that the load cell can’t catch up the load with short duration, but the pressure sensor can do it (for example, the first peak in the pressure signal in Figure 4). However, for the inland case, there are lots of noise in the signals, this is noise can be explained by the poor cooling system of pressure sensors if they are under wet condition. Therefore, all the data analyzed in the present study were based on that obtained by load cell.

![Figure 4. Sample of overtopping wave load time series signals for the dike side case](image-url)
For the hydrodynamic load of the waves on a vertical wall, it always composite by two peaks, one is due to the initial dynamic impact, and the second one is due to the reflection of run-up water (Martin et al, 1999., Fujima et al 2009). The two-peak signals were also observed from the overtopping waves.

In Figure 6, \( t_r \) is the rising time of hydrodynamic load, while \( t_d \) is the total duration of hydrodynamic load. \( t_d \) is about 0.45–0.55 seconds, which is closed to the 30% of wave period for the both the dike side case and the inland case. However, in the present study, the wave period ranges from 1.2 seconds to 1.8 seconds, so it is difficult to say that \( t_d \) depends on the wave period or is independent with constant time duration around 0.45–0.55 seconds.

The green line in Figure 4 and 5 represent the force calculated under a hydrostatic conditions using the run-up height recorded by wave probe 2 (WP2) in front of the wall (refer to Figure 2). As a comparison group with measured force by load cell and pressure sensors, the hydrodynamic force is smaller than the assumed hydrostatic force which is calculated by Equation 1, in which \( h \) is the measured run-up height. This behavior is much obvious in the dike side case. For convenience, in the following section, this computed hydrostatic force named as the run-up force.

\[
F_{\text{static}} = 0.5 \rho gh^2
\]  

(1)

It is interesting to see that there is an agreement between the measured force and the run-up force during the process of the water falling down in both of the dike side case and the inland case (the red frames in Figure 4 and 5). The agreement between these two forces indicates a hydrostatic condition at the wall after the overtopping wave reflected by the vertical wall. This is in line with the finding by Ramsden (1996), see Figure 6 (left).
Estimated overtopping wave force

The definition of maximum wave momentum flux in Hughes (2004) is the maximum depth-integrated wave momentum flux, which has units of force per unit of wave crest and can be used for near shore waves. According to Hughes (2004), the wave force that has “pushed” the water up the slope at the instant of maximum run-up, the fluid within the hatched area on Figure 7a has almost no motion and the weight of the fluid contained in the hatched wedge area \( A'B'C' \) is proportional to the maximum depth-integrated wave momentum flux of the wave before it reached the toe of the structure slope.

Following the argument by Hughes (2004), a similar derivation is performed for the overtopping wave force for the inland case (refer to Figure 7b) and the dike side case (refer to Figure 7c). It can be argued that the maximum overtopping wave momentum flux is integrated by water depth in front of the building and is proportional to the weight of water contained in the hatched area \( abcd \) (\( W_{abcd} \)) on Figure 7b for the inland case and \( ABC \) (\( W_{ABC} \)) on Figure 7c for the dike side case, i.e. where \( \beta \) is an unknown constant of proportionality, \( W \) is the weight of water

\[
F_{\text{max}} = \beta W
\]  

(2)

The weight of water per unit width contained in quadrangle \( abcd \) for the inland case and triangle \( ABC \) for the dike side case shown on Figure 7b and Figure 7c are given by

\[
W_{abcd} = \frac{\rho g}{2} \tan \theta \left( H^2 + 2HD \right)
\]  

(3)

\[
W_{ABC} = \frac{\rho g}{2} \tan \theta h^2
\]  

(4)

Where \( h \) is the maximum vertical surface elevation from the base of the wall, \( D \) is the minimum vertical surface elevation from the base of the wall, \( H \) is the elevation difference between \( h \) and \( D \). For the inland case, if there is no residual water flow on the crest, \( D = 0 \) and \( H \) approaches to \( h \). The \( \theta \) is an unknown angle between still water level and overtopping wave surface (which is assumed to be a straight line). Substituting Equation (3) and (4) into Equation (2), it yields to a new Equation (5), where \( C \) is an unknown constant relating the angle between overtopping wave surface and still water level. For convenience, the “max” subscript has been dropped from the overtopping wave force.

\[
F = C \rho gh^2
\]  

(5)

Figure 7. Sketches of wave run-up and overtopping waves in front of the building

Figure 8 shows the plots of measured individual overtopping wave load obtained from load cell versus \( \rho gh^2 \) for the inland case and the dike side case. Figure 9 gives the relationship of average overtopping wave force versus \( \rho gh^2 \) for the inland case and the dike side case. Note that from Figure 9b, decreased scatters can be seen for longer waves. It can be explained that the estimated area \( ABC \) in Figure 7c is not triangular anymore due to the relatively high surface level and weight of water which caused the line \( AC \) to be curved instead of a line, so Equation (4) gives an overestimation for the force. In summary, using the concept of wave momentum flux gives a good estimation of overtopping wave force for both the inland case and the dike side case and \( C \) is around 0.33.

\[
F = 0.33 \rho gh^2
\]  

(6)
Figure 8. Individual measured overtopping wave load versus $\rho gh^2$
Figure 9. Averaged measured overtopping wave load versus $\rho gh^2$
Based on Equation (6) which does not depend on the incident wave characters, the concept of overtopping wave tongue (Martin et al, 1999) was introduced to explore the relation between incident wave and overtopping loadings, referring to Equation (7), where \( S_o \) is the overtopping wave tongue thickness at the beginning of the dike crest, \( H_w \) is the average value of incident wave height near the toe of the dike, \( R_c \) is the crest freeboard and \( R_u \) is the maximum wave-run-up height for regular wave on a smooth impermeable plane slope, recommended by Schüttrumpf (2001). Note that there are many formulas which can estimate the maximum regular wave run-up on an infinite slope, so in this study, all the formulas related to the regular wave \( R_u \) are applied into the Equation from Schüttrumpf (2001).

\[
S_o = H_w (1 - \frac{R_c}{R_u})
\]  

(7)

Figure 10 plots the relation between the average run up height \( h \) in front of the building and the wave tongue thickness \( S_o \) for the two cases with the straight best-fit line representing Equation (8) in Figure 10a, and Equation (9) in Figure 10b. Therefore, the overtopping wave force can be represented by substituting the relationship between the wave tongue thickness parameter (\( S_o \)) and the run-up height (\( h \)), i.e. Equation (8) and (9) directly into the Equation (6) and get two new Equation (10) and (11).

\[
h = 1.24 S_o
\]  

(8)

\[
h = 2.12 S_o
\]  

(9)

\[
F = 0.51 \rho g (H_w)^2 (1 - \frac{R_c}{R_u})^2
\]  

(10)

\[
F = 1.48 \rho g (H_w)^2 (1 - \frac{R_c}{R_u})^2
\]  

(11)

\[
F = C_2 \rho g (H_w)^2 (1 - \frac{R_c}{R_u})^2
\]  

(12)

Therefore, a uniform equation of the overtopping force can be rewritten as Equation (12), where \( C_2 \) is the coefficient related to the dike crest width, for the inland case, \( C_2 \) is 0.51 while for the dike side case, \( C_2 \) is 1.48. The reduction effect for crest width of 0.5 meter is about 65% in the model scale. For regular waves, Equation (12) can give a good estimation for the average measured overtopping wave force, especially for the waves with \( T = 1.54 s \) (See Figure 11).

For the inland case (Figure 11a), Equation (10) gives an overestimation for the waves with short periods (\( T = 1.2 s \)) and underestimation for the waves with long periods (\( T = 1.8 s \)). For the dike side case (Figure 11b), the data from \( T = 1.8 s \) series are tested under one water level condition, so Equation (11) can’t give a good estimation for the waves with long periods.

This relative lower level of correlation between the predictions and observation for the waves with different periods could be explained as that the incoming waves are interrupted by the return flow from the previous incoming waves on the crest. This merge of the two direction flows could weak the relationship between the overtopping wave tongue thickness (\( S_o \)) and run up height (\( h \)) which will influence the overtopping wave load on the vertical wall. In the future study, the merge effect will be discovered.
Figure 10. Highest water surface elevation versus wave tongue thickness, (a) inland case, (b) dike side case.
Figure 11. Overtopping wave force versus Equation 12, (a) inland case, (b) dike side case.
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

In this study, physical model tests were executed on a schematized model scaled 1/30. The aim was to come up with a relationship describing the force on a vertical plane exerted by the overtopping wave as a function of wave parameters and geometrical characteristics. Due to time constraints, only two configurations: the inland case and the dike case were explored and the number of tests had to be restricted. So only a limited number of parameters could be varied. Despite these restrictions, the experiments revealed the impact process of overtopping wave.

The overtopping wave force could directly be related to the overtopping wave momentum flux, resulting in a simple formulation for the prediction of it. From a theoretical point of view, it is important to increase the test range to investigate the parameters and further research the physical meaning of the dimensionless parameters.

The reduction effect for crest width with 0.5 m is about 65%. Due to the fact that only two widths crest were tested in the present study, the relationship between the width and overtopping wave force could not be presented. Therefore, in the future study, for the same scale model, variation of the width of crest should be increased and the effect of the crest width could be found out.

Recommendation could include testing instruments for more than 8 pressure sensors to be distributed on the surface of the vertical plane with small spaces between two sensors. The load cell and pressure sensors should be sampled with a high sample frequency (~1 kHz), to be able to catch the peak values during a very short time.

In order to investigate the overtopping wave crest elevation propagation on the crest, at least two more wave probes should be equipped, or other, more accurate instruments such as laser could be used. This is crucial to expand the findings of the present study in the future. The record for the water surface on the wall should be measured more accurately and its time series should be measured with the same sample frequency of pressure and force.

For other recommendations, the findings in the present study can be compared with other datasets, and using the results to analyses forces on buildings and actual damage to buildings.
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