CHAPTER 31

THE DYNAMICS OF A COAST WITH A GROYNE SYSTEM

Bakker, W.T. Senior Engineer Coastal Research Department, Rijkewaterstaat The Hague, Netherlande

ABSTRACT

A mathematical theory will be given about the phenomena, which occur if on a coastal area groynee are constructed.

In former similar theories ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5]) the coast was schematised by one coastline. In the following theory it is presented by two lines, one line representing the beach and the other one the inshore.

The theory is based upon the following assumptions.

- 1⁰ the littoral drift along beach and inshore is linear dependent of the angle of wave incidence and therefore of the direction of the line of beach and inshore respectively;
- 2⁰ the transport perpendicular to the coast depends on the etcepness of the profile. If the distance between the line of the beach and the line of the inchore is less than a certain equilibrium distance, the profile is too steep and there is an offshore transport. In the opposite case there is an onshore transport. The relation between offshore transport and dietance between the mentioned lines is linearised.

Some results are shown in fig. 8, 9 and 13.

It is found, dat the influence of a groyne system is threefold: they reflect short-period beach processes on the adjacent areae, they retard erosion and they give a lee-side scour.

But the theory only gives one aspect: influence of diffraction and of currents is not yet taken into account.

INTRODUCTION

In order to know what will happen with a coast after the building of coastal structures, one can make use of several approaches.

If one should know the wave spectra during a long time at the site, if a reliable sand transport formula wae available, if one would know the interaction between waves and currente on the eand transport and if the rulee for the onshore- and offshore transport were known, one would probably be able to predict the changemente. Unfortunately, the state of knowledge is not so far just now.

Another approach, which we will use here ie more or less morphological. With the aid of the continuity equation and a simplified dynamical equation with some unknown constants one can find formulae for the coastline in course of time. With the aid of curve fitting one can find the unknown conetants, which are only valid for the considered area. Furthermore one can find the constants from theories, following the first-mentioned approach, which gives a quick check of these theories.

The firet one, who published a paper about the second approach was PELNARD-CONSIDERE [1]. The original idea was of BOSSEN.

PELNARD-CONSIDERE assumes, that the profile of the coast always remains the equilibrium profile, so that he only needs to consider one coastline, being one of the contourlines. He assumee no currents, constant wave direction, small angle

wave direction, small angle of wave incidence and a linear relation between angle of wavee incidence and littoral drift. Ae the angle of wave incidence at A is larger than at B, the littoral drift at A ie larger than at B; this means that there is accretion between. A convex coast erodes, a concave coast accretes.



He finds (cf "Appendix"), that the accretion is linear dependent of the curvature of the coast and inverse proportional with the depth D, up to where accretion takes place:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{D}} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \qquad \dots \qquad (1)$$

in which the x-direction is the main coastal direction, the y-axis points in seaward direction and in which

 $q = \frac{dQ}{d\alpha}$,

the derivative of the littoral drift Q to the angle of wave incidence ~.

From this differential equation the coastline y as a function of x and t can be found for many boundary conditions. PELNARD-CONSIDERE finds solutions for the coastline of river deltas, the coastline in the vicinity of harbour moles and so on. His experiments confirm the theory.

GRIJM [2], [3] extends the theory by using a better formula for the littoral drift:

$$Q = Q \sin 2 \propto$$
,

in which \propto is the wave direction. He computee the shape of river deltas and finde fundamentally two possible solutions for these deltae: one in which the angle of wave incidence is everywhere less than 45° (fig. 2a) and the other one, in which this angle is everywhere more than 45°(fig. 2b). Aleo combinations are possible (fig. 2c).



In figure 2c the angle of wave incidence is less than 45° at the parts A, B, F and more than 45° at the parts C. D, E. As one never knows which combination one has to choose, the problem seeme to be indefinite. BAKKER and EDELMAN [4] treat the same problem with the linear Pelnard-Coneidère approach. They investigate aleo the case of negative $q(=\frac{dQ}{Q})$, which occurs if the angle of wave incidence is large. Their solutions are more or less similar to GRIJM, but opposite to GRIJM, they also find a periodical solution:

$$y = e^{-\frac{q}{D}K^2t} \cos Kx \dots (2)$$

This ie a sinusoidal shaped coastline of which the amplitude decreases in course. of time if q is positive (small angle of wave incidence), but increases if q is negative (large angle of wave incidence). Therefore, colutions of the chape of fig. 2b



Fig 3 Decay of sinussoidal shaped coastline

tions of the chape of fig. 2b are unstable and will be dectroyed, because slight deviations trigger large deviations according to (2).

This solves the problem of the indefiniteness: nature will prefsr solutions of category I. GRIJM did not find this solutions, because he confined himself to solutions growing with \sqrt{t} in all directions.

PELNARD-CONSIDÈRE [1] considered river deltas and coastal structures, GRIJM [2], [3] and BAKKER and EDELMAN [4] merely treat river deltas. BAKKER [5] investigates the periodical solutions of eq.(1). Besides the "standing" and attenuating wave of fig. 3, also propagating and attenuating waves are poesible. The propagating sandwave found in the prototype on Vlieland could be explained with theory. BAKKER also examinee the influence of coaetal etructuree on these sandwaves. The eandwave appears to be reflected by the structure: the amplitude at the eite of the structure ie enlarged. One can sense this, because there is an analogy between these moving eandwaves and tidal waves. The coaetline is analogous to the vertical tide and the littoral drift to the horizontal tide. If one stops the littoral drift (current) by a dam, one increases the variations of the coaetline (vertical tide).

One of the beauty failuree of the solutione of PELNARD-CONSIDERE [1] and BAKKER [5] ie the assumption of parallel depth contoure. Near coaetal structures the deviations of the

prototype can be coneiderable. For instance, the solution near a breakwater ie eketched in fig. 4a. PELNARD-CONSIDERE finds, that the coast on the left-hand side builds up to the head of the breakwater and that the coastline on the right-hand side erodes the same amount. This might be true for constructione, extending to large depthe. But in the case of groynee only the littoral drift on the beach is prevented: at the beach there will be eedimentation of material on one side of the groyne and eroeion on the other side. But in deeper regione this dieturbance doee not take place, eo on the left-hand side the profile becomes steeper than the equilibrium profile and the sand drops down, and on the right-hand side the profile is flatter than the equilibrium profile and the sand is pushed by the wavee in upward direction.









In order to reproduce this feature in a mathematical model it is necessary to echematize the coast by two lines instead of one. This will be done in this article. The difference with former theories is, that thus off- and onehore transport are taken into account.



Fig. 5a denotee a echematized profile. The profile is underd vided into two parte, one part concisting of the profile between 0 and D, below eea level (beach), the second one between D_1 and $D_1 + D_2$ (inshore). Between beach and inchore is a horizontal chelf at depth D_1 , the total depth D being $D_1 + D_2$.



The depth D is assumed to be so large, that no littoral drift takes place here.

In reality one can imagine, that a breaker ridge occurs at depth D_1 and that a trough links the two parts of the coast (dotted line), fig. 5a.

It is assumed, that a groyne reachee up to the horizontal ehelf at depth D_1 and prevente all littoral drift along the beach, but of course not along the inshore.

In the theory the profile is still more schematized, according to fig. 5b. A stepwiee profile remains. The areas PQSR and RTUV in fig. 5a are equal to the corresponding areas in fig. 5b.

In top view one sees two lines at a dietance y_1 and y_2 ' from of the beach" and "the line of

the x-axis, which will be called "the line of the beach" and "the line of the inshore" respectively.

The "equilibrium distance" W is the distance $y_2' - y_1$ between beach and inshore, when the profile is an equilibrium profile.

The following dynamic equations are assumed.

If the distance $y_2' - y_1$ is equal to the equilibrium distance W, no interaction is assumed. If the distance $y_2' - y_1$ is less than W, the profile is too steep and an offshore transport will be the result. An onshore transport will occur in the opposite case.

We linearize this relation and take for the offshore transport $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{v}}$ per unit length:

$$Q_y = q_y \{y_1 - (y_2' - W)\}$$
 . . . (3a)

in which q is a proportionality constant. The dimension of q is $\lfloor 1/t \rfloor$. For a simpler notation, we denote:

 $y_2 = y_2' - W$ (4)

With respect to the littoral drift, the assumption of PELNARD-CONSIDERE [1] is applied, bothfor beach and inshore: the transport in linearized:



-

Then (3a) becomee





 $\begin{array}{c}
Q_1 = Q_{01} - q_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} \\
Q_2 = Q_{02} - q_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x}
\end{array}$. (5)

in which Q_{01} and Q_{02} are respectively the "stationary transport" (littoral drift where $\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} = 0$, resp. $\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x} = 0$, fig. 7) on bach and

inshore and in which q1 and q2 are proportionality constants. The dimension of q_1 and q_2 is [13/t].

RESULTS

By making use of the continuity equation and the above-mentioned dynamical equations one can compute many stationary and instationary cases (cf. "Appsndix").

Of importance appears to be a reference length:

$$L_{o} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{q_{y}} (\frac{1}{q_{1}} + \frac{1}{q_{2}})} \qquad (6)$$

Fig. 8 shows the result in the case of accretion and erosion near one groyne when $q_1 = q_2$ and $D_1 = D_2$. (cf Appendix, 3).

In the initial situation the lines of beach and inshore are parallel. Fig. 8a shows the situation immediately after the construction of the groyne. Only the beach shows some build-up on the right-hand side and eroeion on the left-hand side. It must be streesed, that the influence of diffraction is not taksn into account.

In fig. 8b and 8c the profile on the left-hand side becomes too eteep and eand drops down to the inshore. Here the littoral drift was originally everywhere the same. The supply of sand from the bsach overcharges the transport capacibility of the inshore and therefore eand sedimentatss here.

Now the littoral drift Q_2 along the groyne at the inshore becomee larger ($\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x}$ becomes negative, cf (5)). In the final stage (fig. 8d) beach and inshore on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side are shiftsd with respect to each other. This is in correspondence with the results of PELNARD-CONSIDERE [1], but he finds, that the coast builds up to the top of the groyne, and here it is found, that it builds up to a distance, only dependent of q_1 , q_2 , q_y and the angle \propto of wave incidence, where $\tan \alpha = \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1}$

Fig. 9 shows several etationary cases. Fig. 9d gives again the final state of fig. 8d. The transport is the sams ae without a groyne, because the transport at a long distance of the groyne does not change. If more groynes are constructed, the littoral drift along the beach is stopped more and more, because the beach turns in the direction



of the wave crest (fig. 9c,b,a).

We now consider the case, that the groynes are so near to each other, that they prevent all the transport along the beach (fig. 9a). In this case the total littoral drift is the drift along the inshore:

$$\delta = \delta^{5} = \delta^{05} - d^{5} \frac{9\lambda^{5}}{9\lambda^{5}}$$

Before the construction of the groynes this transport was:

$$q = q_1 + q_2 = q_{01} + q_{02} - q_1 \frac{\delta x_1}{\delta x_1} - q_2 \frac{\delta x_2}{\delta x_2}$$

Following the conception of PELNARD-CONSIDERE (cf Appendix, 1), and assuming that the sedimentation takes place equable on beach and inshore (q_v sufficiently large), the coastal equation for a protected area would be:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \frac{\mathbf{d}_2}{\mathbf{D}} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}$$

The coastal constant q/D is changed in q_2/D . The assumption, that the sedimentation takes place equable on beach and inshore, is about correct for long-term coastal processes (long with respect to T_0 , cf Appendix 2,(19),7.

We considered the case, that the groynes were so near to each other, that they prevent all the transport along the beach. If the distance between the groynes is larger, the coastal constant will not diminish with a factor q_2/q , but less:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{q'}{D} \quad \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}, \text{ in which } q_2 < q' < q_1 + q_2 \quad . \quad (7)$$

This factor can be computed (cf Appendix, 4) and will be called $\frac{1}{2}$:

$$\frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{q'}{q_1 + q_2} \longrightarrow p = \sqrt{\frac{q_1 + q_2}{q'}} \qquad \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

We now have returned to the one-line theory of PELNARD-CONSIDERE a protected area can be considered as an area with another coastal constant $(\frac{q'}{D})$ than the neighbouring unprotected area, and this constant can be computed with the two-line theory.

In the following section we shall give first some rough statements, making use of the <u>one</u>-line theory, considering a protected area as an area with another coastal constant, and afterwards we shall illustrate it with more accurate computations with the two-line theory. The advantage of the one-line theory is, that it gives a quick insight in the essence of the matter.

LAWS OF SCALE

One can make the coastal equation (1) dimensionless by substituting: x = $n_x \cdot \chi$, y = $n_v \cdot \eta$, t = $n_t \cdot \zeta$, $q/D = n_{cc} \cdot C$, in which χ , η , ζ

500

and C are dimensionless and n_x , n_y , n_t , n_{cc} give the scale factor of x, y, t and the coastal constant q/D.

One finds the following relation:

The scale n of y can be chosen arbitrary, because y occurs on both sides of eq. (1) $_{\cdot}^{y}$ We shall give some examples:

Consider two half-infinite coastal areas with different coastal constants, which are in rest at infinity. Suppose that the ends of both areas carry out the same movements in course of time. The shape of the coastline will be the same in both cases, but the x-scale will be equal to the square root of the coastal constants (fig. 10a).

Consider now two areas with different coastal constants, which are identical at time t = 0 and of which the ends of the areas are in rest. Now the x-scale is the same and therefore the timescale of the changements will be inverse proportional to the coastal constants (fig. 10b).



INFLUENCE OF A ROW OF GROYNES

We consider a coast, where over a long stretch groynes are constructed at time t = 0.

What is the influence of the groynes on the coast?

We will consider three influences, which can be superponed, because all equations are linear.

- 1[°] the influence of external causes (boundary conditions);
- 2[°] the influence of the oblique incidence of the waves (stationary transport);
- 3° the influence of the shape of the coast.
- 1° Influence of external causes.

Assume a wave direction, perpendicular to the coast and a straight coastline at time t = 0.



FIG 11^c coastline at time t, if na graynes wauld have been canstructed

Assume, that by one or another external cause (for instance, a river or tidal canal, which embouches left of the left boundary, fig. 11) the coastline at time t, would have been according to fig. 11c, if no groynes would have been constructed.

What is the coastline if groynes are constructed at time t = 0 ?

This coastline can be constructed with the following method.

The coastline $y_{\mathbf{x}}^*$ of the <u>protected</u> area can be found by reducing the x-scale of y by a factor 1/p (p defined by (8)) and by multiplying the y-scale with a factor 1 + r, in which:

 $r = \frac{p-1}{p+1}$, so $1 + r = \frac{2p}{p+1}$ (10)

In formula: $y_{\pm}^{*}(x) = (1 + r) y (px)$

The coastline of the unprotected part $y_{\overline{x}}$ can be found as the sum of the original y plus a "reflected y"; the latter one being the reflection of the original y (for x > 0) with respect to the y-axis and multiplied with the reflection factor r, given in eq. (10):



If x < 0, then $y_{\mathbf{x}}(x) = y(x) + r \cdot y(-x) \cdot \cdot \cdot (11b)$

In fig. 12 the method of construction is visualissd.



FIG 12

One can proof sasily, that:

- a. the new coastline of the unprotected part y_{\pm} suffices the coastal equation (1) for an unprotected area: it consists of the sum of two functions, both obeying this linear squation.
- b. the nsw coastline of the protected part y_x suffices the coastal equation (7) for a protected area. For the timescale of the changements at A is the same in fig. 11b and 11c and the x-scale is proportional to the square root of the coastal constants (fig. 10a).
- c. the transport a little bit on the left of A in fig. 11b is equal to the transport a little bit on the right of A.
- d. the y-coordinates at A of the protected and unprotected area are the same.

As an example of the influence of external causes in the case of the two-line system, fig. 13 shows the influence of groynes on a harmonical, propagating sandwave. These sandwaves occur, if the left-hand boundary erodss and accretes harmonically (by an external cause), if the right-hand boundary (protected coast) is in rest, and if the profile at the boundaries is an squilibrium profile [4], [5]. In the case of fig. 13, it is assumed, that the distance between the groynes is so small, that no littoral drift takes place on the beach. The protected beach just reacts as a store. The formulae are given in the Appendix, 7.

As fig. 13 shows, for <u>short-period</u> processes¹⁾, the motion of the $\frac{1}{1}$ defined in the appendix



inchore is practically the same either if groynee are constructed, either if they are not. Then the motion of the protected beach is small, but there is a large edge effect on the unprotected beach, near the beginning of the groyne eyetem.

For <u>long</u>-period proceeses¹⁾ the results of the one-line theory are confirmed: the wave-length along the protected beach and inshore is a factor 1/p times the wave-length along the unprotected beach and the amplitude is enlarged by a factor 1 + r, according to (10).

2° Influence of oblique incidence of waves

According to the <u>one-line</u> system, the traneport along an unprotected coast y and along a protected coast y' are respectively:

$$\delta_{i} = \delta_{0}^{0}, - d_{i} \frac{9x}{9\Lambda}$$
$$\delta_{i} = \delta_{0}^{0} - d_{i} \frac{9x}{9\Lambda}$$

In this formula Q ' and q' are smaller than Q and q. If the transport along the beach is prevented totally, Q ' and q' are respectively Q $_{02}$ and q , the constants of the inshore.

Consider an area, partly protected with groynes, which is at t = 0parallel to the x-axie (fig. 15a). On the unprotected beach the transport will be Q and on the protected beach Q ' and therefore the eedimentation per unit time will be Q - Q'. With the same consideratione as in the chapter "influence of external causes" it can be shown, that a kind of delta will be formed, which will increase with a velocity proportional to \sqrt{t} (fig. 15b). This delta is not symmetrical: the same y-coordinate at the point (-x) of the unprotected coast occurs in the point x/p of the protected coast (fig. 14). The inverse will occur on the les-eide of the groyne system. Here a similar shape ecour-hole will be formed. Fig. 15 shows the

ehape and the transport, the formulae are given in appendix, 8.

The corresponding <u>two-line</u> eyetem is rather intricate and still in study.



3° The influence of ehape

Even if the coastline would not change because of external causes and even if the influence of stationary transport is not taken into account, a convex coast would erode and a concave coast accrete according to eq. (1):

$$\frac{9 \mathbf{x}}{9 \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{9 \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{S}}}{9_{\mathrm{S}} \mathbf{x}}$$

In the <u>one-line</u> system the difference between a protected coast and an unprotected coast is a difference in the constant q/D, which differe a factor p^2 , according to (8). This means, that a protected coast accretee

^{&#}x27;' defined in the appendix



FIG 16 ERDSIDN DF BEACH AND INSHORE ACCORDING TO THE TWO - LINE SYSTEM

(erodes) slower than an unprotected coast with the same curvature. The timescale is p^2 times as large, according to (9) and fig. 10b.

At the boundary between a protected part and an unprotected part edge effects arise, which can be computed graphically with the method of Schmidt [5].

Fig. 16 shows the erosion of a convex coast, according to the <u>two-line</u> system. The formulae are given in appendix, 9.

It is assumed, that before t = 0 beach and inshore have the same parabolic shape and an equilibrium profile. They erode with the same velocity. At time t = 0 groynes are constructed at the beach, so near to each other, that they prevent all transport along the beach. The erosion along the inshore is not stopped, however, the profile becomes too steep and moves from beach to inshore.

The erosion of the inshore diminishes and the erosion of the beach begins again. Finally, beach and inshore erode together again, but the profile remains eteeper than the equilibrium profile, and the total rate of erosion is less than before, according to the one-line theory.

DISCUSSION

The theory only deale with one aspect.

Other aspecte are:

1° the influence of rip-currents near the groynee.

This influence is two-fold: rip-currente transport material from beach to inshore and they cause stream-refraction. These two influences work against each other. The transport of material from the beach causes a scour-hole on the beach and the stream-refraction causes a spit on the beach.

At the moment experiments with dyed water in the prototype are carried out to get an impression of the order of magnitude of these rip-currents. Rip-currente flatten the profile and lower the rate of effect of a groyne-system. Therefore it is very important, that they give the correct transport in modele, because otherwise one can find the inverse effect of groynee as in practice.

2⁰ the influence of diffraction on the lee-eide of groynee. The author has the feeling that diffraction does not really change the effect of a groyne system, but only has minor effects in the immediate vicinity.

3° variable wave direction

This causes changing boundary conditione near the groynee. Most influence will be found near the first groyne of a groyne eyetem, where thie will generate ehort-period moving sandwaves on the beach. These eandwavee have a short wavelength and will decay at a ehort distance of the groyne. At a long dietance of the groynee one only finde the effect of mean wave conditione and no influence of variations. Therefore, aleo with varying wave conditione, most of what has been eaid, especially about long-period processes, remaine ite validity with changing wave conditione.

4⁰ non-linearity in the transport equation. According to the author, this is mostly of minor importance, except if anywhere the angle of wave incidence along beach or inshore becomes about 45[°] or more. In this case the matter of instability, mentioned in the introduction becomes important. A point where this can occur is in fig. 8 on the inshore, right in front of the groyne.

Of course everyone will be interested in the values of the coastal constants.

The following is not more than a reasonable quees, because serious investigations have not yet been done.

For some parts of the Dutch coast, $q/D \approx 0.4 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}$ depth/year/radian and q_v might be 1 to 10 m/year at a depth D_1 of 3 m.

REFERENCES

- R. Pelnard-Coneidère, Essai de théorie de l'évolution des formes de rivages en plages de sable et de galets. Quatrième Journées de l'Hydraulique, Paris 13-15 Juin 1954. Les Energies de la Mer, Question III.
- W. Grijm, Theoretical Forms of Shorelines.
 Proc. 7th Conf. on Coaetal Engng., The Hague 1960.
- W. Grijm, Theoretical Forms of Shorelines. Proc. 9th Conf. on Coastal Engng., Lisbon 1964.
- W.T.J.N.P. Bakker and T. Edelman. The Coastline of River Deltae. Proc. 9th Conf. on Coastal Engng., Lisbon 1964.
- [5] W.T.J.N.P. Bakker, A mathematical theory about sandwaves and its applications on the Dutch Wadden Isle of Vlieland. Shore and Beach (unpublished yet).
- [6] U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Handbook of Mathematical Punctione (ed. M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun).

APPENDIX

1. THE DERIVATION OF PELNARD-CONSIDERE

If x is the main coastal direction and y is in seaward direction, the angle of wave incidence is nearly (taking

$$\operatorname{arctg} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \approx \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}) : \alpha = \alpha_0 - \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$$

The littoral drift Q is a function of the angle of wave incidence and can be put into a Taylor seriee:

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{dQ}{d\alpha} (\alpha - \alpha_0) + \dots$$

in which Q denotes the traneport Q if the angle of wave incidence is \propto_0 This gives in linear approximation:

$$Q = Q - q \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$$



in which $q = \frac{dQ}{d\alpha}$ for $\alpha = \infty$.

The squation of continuity says that the sedimentation is equal to the decrease of littoral drift:

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}x} + D \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = 0.$

Substituting Q gives the equation of Pelnard-Considère:

 $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}.$

It will be seen, that this derivation remains its validity when Q denotes the mean yearly transport along a coast. $|_{\mathbf{Q}}$

In practice, the transport is zero if the angle of wave incidence is zero. In order to get this correct in the mathematical model, it has sense to choose Q_{o} less than the transport when the angle of wave incidence is \ll_{o} (fig. 18)



2. DERIVATION OF THE FORMULAE FOR THE TWO LINE SYSTEM

The equations of continuity are:

$$-\frac{dQ_{1}}{dx} - Q_{y} = D_{1} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial t}$$

$$-\frac{dQ_{2}}{dx} + Q_{y} = D_{2} \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial t}$$
(12)

Substituting the dynamical equations (3) and (5) for Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_y gives:

$$q_{1} \frac{\delta^{2} y_{1}}{\delta x^{2}} - q_{y} (y_{1} - y_{2}) = D_{1} \frac{\delta y_{1}}{\delta t}$$

$$q_{2} \frac{\delta^{2} y_{2}}{\delta x^{2}} - q_{y} (y_{2} - y_{1}) = D_{2} \frac{\delta y_{2}}{\delta t}$$

$$(13)$$

Adding both equations gives:

$$\frac{\delta^{2}(q_{1}y_{1} + q_{2}y_{2})}{\delta x^{2}} = \frac{\delta(D_{1}y_{1} + D_{2}y_{2})}{\delta t}$$

which can be written as:

$$\frac{q}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D_1 D_2}{D^2} \left(\frac{q_1}{D_1} - \frac{q_2}{D_2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 (y_1 - y_2)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \quad \dots \quad (14)$$

in which $\frac{q}{D} = \frac{q_1 + q_2}{D_1 + D_2}$ and $y = \frac{1}{D} (D_1 y_1 + D_2 y_2)$.

y is the "coastline" of Pelnard-Considère. We will confine ourselves to to cases where $\frac{q_1}{D_1} = \frac{q_2}{D_2}$, which means, that if beach and inshore have the same curvature, they fill up equable and the profile does not change. In this case the second left-hand term of (14) is zero and we have back eq. (1) of Pelnard-Considère.

By dividing the squations (13) by D_1 and D_2 respectively and subtracting ons finds:

Eq. (15) is the equation for the offshore transport, which equals q y_. By using the auxiliary variable y_e , equal to:

this can be written as:

$$\frac{g}{D} \frac{\partial^2 y_e}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial y_e}{\partial t} \qquad (18)$$

(1) and (18) both represent the diffusion-, warmth-, or conductivity equation, for which many numerical or graphical integration processes are available, for instances the method of Schmidt [5]. By substituting the appropriate boundary conditions for y and y_g , one can find y and y_g at every time and place, from which y_1 and y_2 .

Some problems can be solved analytically, of which some examples will be given.

From (17) it will be seen, that the time scale of this kind of processes is highly dependent of a reference time T_{a} :

$$\mathbf{T}_{o} = \frac{\mathbf{D}_{1}\mathbf{D}_{2}}{\mathbf{q}_{y}\mathbf{D}} \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (19)$$

3. THE PROBLEM OF FIG. 8. $D_1 = D_2$, $q_1 = q_2$.

Boundary conditions: $\underline{a} \quad y_1 = y_2 = 0$ for $x = \infty$ and $0 < t < \infty$ $\underline{b} \quad y_2 = 0$ for x = 0 and $0 < t < \infty$

$$\frac{c}{d} = \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} = \tan \alpha = \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1} \quad \text{for } x = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

$$\frac{d}{d} = y_1 = y_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \infty \quad \text{and } t = 0$$

First the equations (1) and (15) are mads dimensionless by substituting $x' = x/L_0$, $t' = t/T_0$ and $y_{1,2}' = y_{1,2} \cot \alpha$, in which L_0 and T_0 are defined in (6) and (19) respectively. In the following the accents will be omitted. Denoting the Laplace transform of y' with y, the Laplace transforms of the new equations are for the given boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\delta^2 \bar{\mathbf{y}}}{\delta \mathbf{x}^2} = \mathbf{s} \, \bar{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 \bar{\mathbf{y}}_-}{\delta \mathbf{x}^2} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_- = \mathbf{s} \, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_-$$
(20)

Solving eq. (20) and substituting the boundary conditions give:

$$\overline{y} = \frac{-e^{-x\sqrt{s}}}{s(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s+1})}$$

$$\overline{y}_{-} = \frac{-2e^{-x\sqrt{s+1}}}{s(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s+1})}$$
(21)

The functions of (21) can be splitted up into fractions. Then terms arise $-x \sqrt{s+1}$

as
$$\frac{e}{\sqrt{s}}$$
, which can be developed into series of the kind $s^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} s^{-x\sqrt{s}}$,

of which the inverse are integrals of the error function. From this, one finds the final solution. In the following, the coordinates ars given for x > 0 (the eroded part). For x < 0, there is antisymmetry. The solution is:

$$y_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa_t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{t^n}{n!} \qquad Y_{2n-1} \left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{\kappa_t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \qquad Y_{2n-1} \left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{x} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{t} + \frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{x} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{t} + \frac$$

GROYNE SYSTEM 5
iⁿ erfc x has nothing to do with complex numbers, but denotes the nth
integral of the complementary error function.
Thus
$$\bigvee_{-1} (x) = e^{-x^2}$$
. The functions \bigvee_n are shown
in fig. 19. We refer to [5], also for tabels
and recurrence formulae (page 300 till 318).
The erosion of the coast at x = 0 is:
 $[y_{\vec{1}}]_{x=0} = 2 \tan \propto (\frac{1 - e^{-t/T_0}}{\sqrt{\pi t/T_0}} - erf\sqrt{\frac{t}{T_0}}) \dots (23)$
in which erf $x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx$ and erfc $x =$
 $= 1 - erf x.$
4. STATIONARY CASE WITH GROYNES: FIG. 9.
In the stationary case $\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial t}$ in (13) are zero.
This gives the general solution:
 $x/t_0 = e^{-x/t_0}$

$$y_{1} = Ae^{X/L_{0}} + Be^{-X/L_{0}} + Cx + E$$

$$y_{2} = -\frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} (Ae^{X/L_{0}} + Be^{-X/L_{0}}) + Cx + E$$

Boundary conditions for fig. 9. <u>a</u> antisymmetry A = -B<u>b</u> $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ for x = 0: E = 0 $\underline{c} \quad Q_1 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x = L \cdot \left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x}\right)_{x=L} = \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1} = \tan \alpha$ $\underline{d} y_2 = 0$ for x = L

Result

[y₁] = x=0

sult:

$$y_{1} = \tan \alpha \frac{x + \frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}} L \frac{\sinh x/L_{0}}{\sinh L/L_{0}}}{1 + \frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}} \frac{L/L_{0}}{\tanh L/L_{0}}} \qquad (25)$$

$$y_{2} = \tan \alpha \frac{x - L \frac{\sinh x/L}{\sinh L/L_{0}}}{1 + \frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}} \frac{L/L_{0}}{\tanh L/L_{0}}}$$



5. STATIONARY CASE WITH ONE GROYNE: FIG. 8d AND FIG. 9.

This case can be found from the former by taking $L = \infty$ and x' = L + x. The coordinates of the eroded part are:

$$y_{1} = -L_{0} \tan \alpha \left(\frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} + e^{-x/L_{0}} \right)$$

$$y_{2} = -L_{0} \tan \alpha \left(\frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} (1 - e^{-x/L_{0}}) \right)$$
(26)

6. LITTORAL DRIFT ON A PROTECTED AREA.

In order to return from the two-line system to the one-line eystem, we look for a transport formula for a protected area of the kind:

$$Q = Q_0' - q' \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x} \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (27)$$

in which y' gives the overall coastal direction of a protected area (fig. 21) and Q ' denotes the transport if the overall coastal direction is parallel to the x-axis, as in fig. 9. By applying the transport formula (5) to (25)

we find $(\tan \alpha = \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1});$

$$Q_{0}' = Q_{02} + Q_{01} \frac{1 - \frac{\operatorname{tgh} L/L_{0}}{L/L_{0}}}{1 + \frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} \frac{\operatorname{tgh} L/L_{0}}{L/L_{0}}}$$
 (28)

Y₂ Y₁ FIG 21

If the overall coastal direction $\frac{\partial y'}{\partial x}$ has a certain value, the transport changes because Q_{01} and Q_{02} in (28) have to be replaced by

$$Q_{o1} - q_1 \frac{\partial \mathbf{y'}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
 and $Q_{o2} - q_2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{y'}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$.

From this one can find q^{\dagger} and p^2 :

$$p^{2} = \frac{q_{1} + q_{2}}{q^{*}} = 1 + \frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} + \frac{\operatorname{tgh} L/L_{0}}{L/L_{0}}$$
 . . . (29)

7. THE SANDWAVES OF FIG. 13.

Differential equations: for the unprotected part (1) and (15), for the protected part (13) with $q_1 = 0$. Assumed is no littoral drift along the protected beach.

Boundary conditions:

 $\underline{a} \quad y_1 = y_2 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x = \infty$ $\underline{b} \quad y_1 = y_2 = e^{-K_+ 'x} \quad \cos (\omega t - K_+ 'x) \text{ at } x = -\infty \text{, in which}$ $K_+ ' = \sqrt{\frac{\omega D}{2q}}$ $\underline{c} \quad \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{for } x = -0$ $\underline{d} \quad y_2 \text{ continuous and differentiable at } x = 0$

Solution:

See adjacent page. The "offshore transport wave" is the solution of the equation (15) for y_, the incoming and reflected wave are solutions of (1). The solution is highly dependent of the value of ωT . This value defines the short-period waves ($\omega T_0 <<1$) and large-period waves ($\omega T_0 >>1$).

8. INFLUENCE OF OBLIQUE WAVES INCIDENCE (FIG. 15). FORMULAE.

Accretion at <u>unprotected</u> coast (branch y_T in fig. 15b):

$$y_{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{Q_{o1}}{q_{1}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{4qt}{D}} e^{-x^{2}D/4qt} + x\sqrt{\pi} + x\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf} \left(x\sqrt{\frac{D}{4qt}}\right) \right\}$$

Littoral drift Q at <u>unprotected</u> coast (branch y_T)

$$Q \approx Q_{0} - \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot q \quad \frac{Q_{01}}{q_{1}} \quad \left\{ 1 + \operatorname{erf} \left(x \sqrt{\frac{D}{4qt}} \right) \right\}$$

Accretion at protected coast (branch y'r in fig. 15b):

$$y_{TT}^{*} = y_{T} (-px)$$

Littoral drift Q at protected coast (branch y_{TT}^{i} in fig. 15b)

 $Q = Q_0 - \frac{p-1}{p} q \cdot \frac{Q_{01}}{q_1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{erf} \left(px \sqrt{\frac{D}{4qt}} \right) \right\}$

in which: erf $x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2}} dt$.

For the scour hole (branch y'_{TTT} and y_{TV}), the formulae are similar.

UNPROTECTED COAST



9. EROSION OF BEACH AND INSHORE ACCORDING TO TWO-LINE SYSTEM (fig. 16). FORMULAE.

Differential equations for t > 0: (13) with $q_1 = 0$.

Results

t < 0 (groynes not yet constructed).

$$y_{1,2} = ax^2 + \frac{2aq_2}{q_y} \cdot \frac{D_1 D_2}{D^2} \cdot \frac{D}{D_2} \cdot \frac{t}{T_0}$$

t > 0 (groynes constructed):

$$y_{1} = ax^{2} + \frac{2aq_{2}}{q_{y}} \cdot \frac{D_{1}D_{2}}{D^{2}} \cdot (e^{-t/T_{0}} - 1 + \frac{t}{T_{0}})$$

$$y_{2} = ax^{2} + \frac{2aq_{2}}{q_{y}} \cdot \frac{D_{1}D_{2}}{D^{2}} \left\{ e^{-t/T_{0}} - 1 + \frac{t}{T_{0}} + \frac{D}{D_{2}} (1 - e^{-t/T_{0}}) \right\}$$