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The Bovine Practitioner is the official publication of the American Association of Bovine Practitioners (AABP). The AABP is a professional non-profit educational organization representing cattle veterinarians in clinical practice; academic veterinarians with interests in cattle medicine, diagnostics, surgery, and production; and industry veterinarians. The focus of The Bovine Practitioner is to promote the art and science of bovine medicine, surgery, reproduction, diagnostics, beef and milk production and animal welfare. The Bovine Practitioner also considers manuscripts covering similar topics for small ruminants.
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Submission of manuscripts
- Electronic copies of manuscripts can be sent by email to the editor (cowdr@sbcglobal.net). Manuscripts must be submitted in English.
- Authors who are unable to submit manuscripts by email should ship two copies of the paper and a disk to the editor using regular US Mail, Priority Mail or by courier such as FedEx or UPS.

Types of articles
- Research papers. These are reports on original research applicable to the target audience of The Bovine Practitioner. The experimental design in the Materials and Methods should be described in sufficient detail to allow other researchers to duplicate the study. Statistical analysis should be appropriate for the study, and described so that the reader can duplicate the analysis. The statistical model, blocks, and experimental unit should be designated; statistical methods commonly used need not be described in detail, but should be properly and adequately referenced. The author should describe masking (blinding) procedures, method of randomization and statistical power of the study.
• **Retrospective study.** A retrospective study provides a critical review of case records. A detailed description of selection criteria for cases (case definition) should be included, along with statistical analysis when appropriate.

• **Review article.** A review article should be comprehensive and critical; the authors should ensure that information presented accurately represents what is in the literature in order to avoid bias. Facts and conclusions presented should be sufficient to provide practitioners useful information without additional literature search.

• **Case report or case study.** Case reports and case studies describe new or unusual cases that will benefit the practitioner. This may include diagnostics, clinical signs, necropsy information, therapeutics or management practices. Analytical statistics from case reports are not often possible to generate; however, descriptive statistics should be presented when appropriate.

• **New techniques.** Articles on “new techniques” can include such things as new diagnostics, practice tips or “how to” utilize innovation in practice; this can include such things as a surgical procedure, a diagnostic technique or use of a spreadsheet to monitor health and productivity of a herd, among others.

General format of articles

• **Research article.** Research papers must begin with an Abstract that does not exceed 200 words. This should be followed by an Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusions and References. Results and Discussion can be combined into one section at the discretion of the author.

• **Retrospective study.** These articles must begin with an Abstract that does not exceed 200 words, followed by an Introduction. Other headings and subheadings should be developed as required by content. A Discussion is acceptable if it offers clarity for the reader. Conclusions and References should follow.

• **Review article.** Review articles must begin with an Abstract that does not exceed 200 words, followed by an Introduction. Other headings and subheadings should be developed as required by content. A Discussion is acceptable if it provides clarity for the review material presented. Conclusions and References should follow.

• **Case report or case study.** These articles should begin with an Abstract that does not exceed 200 words, followed by an Introduction. Other headings and subheadings should be organized as required by the content, such as case or herd history, clinical findings, diagnostics, management plan, outcome and discussion – the author is free to modify or create headings as required by the case. Conclusions and References should follow.

• **New techniques.** These articles should begin with an Abstract not exceeding 200 words, followed by an Introduction. Other headings and subheadings should be used as required by the content. These headings should make the article easier for the reader to understand. Conclusions and References should follow.

**Style**

1. *The Bovine Practitioner* has adopted the following style guidelines:
   • Documents should be prepared and submitted in Microsoft Word.
   • Times New Roman at 12-point font size should be used.
   • Lines and pages should be numbered continuously.
   • Lines should be double spaced with 1-inch (2.5-cm) margins.
Tables and figures (including appropriate color digital images) are welcome, but should be limited to those that add clarity to the article.

2. Title page. The title of the article should describe the content without being excessively long. Many search engines utilize the title instead of keywords, thus careful crafting of the title is important to increase the likelihood that your article will be identified and cited. Additional information can be found at emeraldinsight.com.

- A list of all authors should include the first, middle initial and last name; author degrees beyond the bachelor’s degree, and any board certification; affiliation of each author, such as institution, company or practice; and corresponding author, including contact information.
- Capitalize only the first letter in the title and the first letter in genus names.

3. Tables and figures. Tables must be prepared using the table features in Microsoft Word. Each table and figure must be submitted on a separate page; the table or figure number and title should be on the same line and separated by a period. Table and figure titles should be descriptive enough for the table or figure to stand-alone or be self-explanatory.
- Footnotes should be numbered.
- Statistical difference (rows or columns) should be noted by using lower case letters.
- Symbols, such as an asterisk, can be used to denote probability.

4. Endnotes are used to cite:
- Personal communications.
- Dissertation or thesis references.
- Brand names or commercial names of such things as drugs, vaccines, laboratory test kits and devices.
- Statistical software.

5. Acknowledgements should be placed after Conclusions in the manuscript, and include:
- Appreciation to persons who are not authors, but made significant contributions to the study or to the manuscript.
- Declaration of full or partial funding of the study.
- Acknowledgment of any conflicts of interest. The Bovine Practitioner adheres to the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors' reporting of conflicts of interest. Authors should review these recommendations prior to manuscript submission.
- If there are none, the author should state “the author(s) declares no conflict of interest”.

6. References must be listed in alphabetical order of the first author’s last name, and cited in the text using superscript numbers. The reference format should follow the style used in the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. References must not be cited in the Abstract or Conclusions.

**Journal article**


• When studies are performed on commercial dairy, farm, ranch or feedlot facilities, the author must verify that adequate animal husbandry (housing, feed and water) was provided, and that no animal interventions beyond industry accepted diagnostic and therapeutic practices were utilized.
• A description of animal care should be included in the Materials and Methods.
• When euthanasia of an animal is required, the method used should be stated in the Materials and Methods. A description of considerations and methods of euthanasia is found in Practical euthanasia of cattle (www.aabp.org).
• Manuscripts will be returned to the author without consideration for publication if there is evidence of animal abuse during the research study.

Drug usage
• Manuscripts reporting illegal use of drugs or chemicals will be returned to the author(s) without further consideration of publication.
• Animal safety, food safety and compliance with drug-use laws and regulations must be addressed when drugs or chemicals are used extra-label.

Peer-review of manuscripts
• All manuscripts received by the editor are sent to at least three reviewers who are subject matter experts. Reviewer comments and recommendations are strongly considered when making the decision whether to accept or reject a manuscript for publication.
• The Bovine Practitioner uses a double-blind review process, whereby the reviewer does not know the identity of the author, and vice versa.
• Every effort is made to screen reviewers to minimize chances of conflict of interest.
• Reviewers recommend to either 1) accept, 2) accept with minor revision, 3) revise and resubmit the manuscript for a second review, or 4) to reject a manuscript.
• The final decision to accept or reject a manuscript is the responsibility of the Editor.
• A list of the Review Board members is published annually in the Summer issue of The Bovine Practitioner.

Copyediting
• The Editor reserves the right to copyedit manuscripts. The copyedited manuscript is returned to the author for review and approval prior to publication.
• Authors are responsible for accuracy of the final galley proof prior to publication. Careful scrutiny should be given to the accuracy of units of measure, matching of superscript to the correct reference, and spelling and syntax.

Copyright considerations
• Published manuscripts will be copyrighted by The Bovine Practitioner to protect the author(s) and the AABP from unauthorized use of articles. The transfer of the copyright will occur when a manuscript is accepted for publication. All authors are required to sign a copyright transfer agreement. Government employees are exempt from the copyright requirement.
• The author is required to obtain written permission for use of copyrighted material used in the manuscript. Documentation of permission to use copyrighted material must be
submitted to the Editor prior to publication, and credit must be given to the source of borrowed material in the manuscript.

Commercial advertisements
- The major source of funding *The Bovine Practitioner* is from the AABP membership dues; however, income is also derived from the sale of commercial ad space in the journal to offset expenses.
- To avoid conflict of interest, the AABP employs the services of a contract advertising agent to solicit and sell advertising space in the journal.
- The editor, editorial staff and production staff do not engage in any activity related to the sale of commercial advertising; however, the editor makes the final decision on placement of commercial ads. Ads will not be placed within or adjacent to scientific articles related to the commercial product.

Page charges and author benefits
- There is no page charge for articles published in *The Bovine Practitioner*.
- The senior author is provided a PDF of the article immediately after it is published, and 25 reprints are provided at no cost if requested by the author.
- Authors wishing to use their material for a proceedings, book or other scholarly work will be given permission following written request by the author. Requests should be sent to the Editor of *The Bovine Practitioner*.
- No honorarium is paid to authors or co-authors for articles published in *The Bovine Practitioner*.
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**INDICATIONS**
ZACTRAN is indicated for the treatment of bovine respiratory disease (BRD) associated with *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Histophilus somni* and *Mycoplasma bovis* in beef and non-lactating dairy cattle. ZACTRAN is also indicated for the control of respiratory disease in beef and non-lactating dairy cattle at high risk of developing BRD associated with *Mannheimia haemolytica* and *Pasteurella multocida*.

**CONTRAINDICATIONS**
As with all drugs, the use of ZACTRAN is contraindicated in animals previously found to be hypersensitive to this drug.

**WARNING: FOR USE IN CATTLE ONLY. NOT FOR USE IN HUMANS. KEEP THIS AND ALL DRUGS OUT OF REACH OF CHILDREN. NOT FOR USE IN CHICKENS OR TURKEYS.**
The material safety data sheet (MSDS) contains more detailed occupational safety information. To report adverse effects, obtain an MSDS or for assistance, contact Merial at 1-888-637-4251.

**RESIDUE WARNINGS:** Do not treat cattle within 35 days of slaughter. Because a discard time in milk has not been established, do not use in female dairy cattle 20 months of age or older. A withdrawal period has not been established for this product in pre-ruminating calves. Do not use in calves to be processed for veal.

**PRECAUTIONS**
The effects of ZACTRAN on bovine reproductive performance, pregnancy, and lactation have not been determined. Subcutaneous injection of ZACTRAN may cause a transient local tissue reaction in some cattle that may result in loss of edible tissues at slaughter.

**ADVERSE REACTIONS**
Transient animal discomfort and mild to moderate injection site swelling may be seen in cattle treated with ZACTRAN.

**EFFECTIVENESS**
The effectiveness of ZACTRAN for the treatment of BRD associated with *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Pasteurella multocida* and *Histophilus somni* was demonstrated in a field study conducted at four geographic locations in the United States. A total of 497 cattle exhibiting clinical signs of BRD were enrolled in the study. Cattle were administered ZACTRAN (6 mg/kg BW) or an equivalent volume of sterile saline as a subcutaneous injection once on Day 0. Cattle were observed daily for clinical signs of BRD and were evaluated for clinical success on Day 10. The percentage of successes in cattle treated with ZACTRAN (58%) was statistically significantly higher (p<0.05) than the percentage of successes in the cattle treated with saline (19%).

The effectiveness of ZACTRAN for the treatment of BRD associated with *M. bovis* was demonstrated independently at two U.S. study sites. A total of 502 cattle exhibiting clinical signs of BRD were enrolled in the studies. Cattle were administered ZACTRAN (6 mg/kg BW) or an equivalent volume of sterile saline as a subcutaneous injection once on Day 0. At each site, the percentage of successes in cattle treated with ZACTRAN on Day 10 was statistically significantly higher than the percentage of successes in the cattle treated with saline (74.4% vs. 24% [p<0.001], and 67.4% vs. 46.2% [p=0.002]). In addition, in the group of calves treated with gamithromycin that were confirmed positive for *M. bovis* (pre-treatment nasopharyngeal swabs), there were more calves at each site (45 of 57 calves, and 5 of 6 calves) classified as successes than as failures.

The effectiveness of ZACTRAN for the control of respiratory disease in cattle at high risk of developing BRD associated with *Mannheimia haemolytica* and *Pasteurella multocida* was demonstrated in two independent studies conducted in the United States. A total of 467 crossbred beef cattle at high risk of developing BRD were enrolled in the study. ZACTRAN (6 mg/kg BW) or an equivalent volume of sterile saline were administered as a single subcutaneous injection within one day after arrival. Cattle were observed daily for clinical signs of BRD and were evaluated for clinical success on Day 10 post-treatment. In each of the two studies, the percentage of successes in the cattle treated with ZACTRAN (86% and 79%) was statistically significantly higher (p=0.0019 and p=0.0016) than the percentage of successes in the cattle treated with saline (36% and 39%).
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YOU CAN'T AVOID

SHIPPING STRESS.

YOU CAN OUTSMART IT.

Protect your clients' calves against bovine respiratory disease (BRD) with ZACTRAN.

Stress can leave your clients' cattle susceptible to performance-robbing pneumonia. With ZACTRAN you get a potent combination of six factors that helps you protect the genetic potential of your clients' calves — and their profitability. Get the facts to see what makes ZACTRAN the smart choice. ZACTRAN.com

THE SMART CHOICE

1. Susceptibility
2. Speed
3. Site of infection
4. Staying power
5. Safety
6. Saves money

Merial is a division of Bayer Animal Health.
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