positive by fecal culture in 2005. The fourth herd is a medium-sized, open dairy herd (250 milking); 16% of cows were fecal positive for MAP in 2003, and 22% were positive for MAP in 2005. 4.4% of cows were positive for JD by ELISA in 2004, and 6% of cows were ELISA positive in 2005.

**Significance**

In conclusion, positive management practices can reduce JD prevalence within herd. ELISA testing alone is not enough to reduce Johne’s prevalence. ELISA is best used to screen the JD status in the herd, followed by fecal culture to identify the infected animals.
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**Introduction**

There is general consensus on how to control Johne’s Disease (JD) but there are few longitudinal field studies to demonstrate that such recommendations actually work. The goal of the project was to fill this need by testing a single specific affordable control program in ten Wisconsin commercial dairy herds with diverse sizes and management styles.

**Materials and Methods**

The experimental JD control program consisted of the standard recommendations for heifer rearing plus testing for JD. Every cow in each herd was tested one time during each lactation. In most herds testing was done at the end of lactation. Two herds tested early in lactation. Cows were tested for serum antibodies by ELISA (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) and also by fecal culture (modified BACTEC 460 system, Becton Dickinson). However, only ELISA results were provided to herd owners. Cows with strong-positive ELISA results were culled at the end of their lactation. Cows with low to moderate ELISA-positive results were visibly labeled, calved in separate maternity pens, and their colostrum was discarded. Compliance with program recommendations was assured by regular visits of the project manager (VE) and principle investigator (MTC). One of the ten original herds in the study was dropped from the study for non-compliance with the specified control program.

**Results**

The program started in January 2002. After measuring baseline *M. paratuberculosis* infection rates by ELISA and fecal culture, herds implemented the control program. It took between six and ten months before the control program was fully implemented in the study herds. This past year (2005) the first of the heifers raised under the experimental JD control program entered the study herds as milking cows. As an early gauge of success, we measured the percentage of heifers (first lactation cows) that were ELISA-positive before and after the full implementation of the control program. There is some variability among herds, but when test results on all first lactation cows in our nine study herds were combined, we found that the rate of ELISA-positive animals has dropped significantly from 9.0% (73/813) before start of the control program to 4.1% (19/469) (p<0.001). In addition, the herd owners report that clinical cases of JD are now rare in their herds. Several owners also report improved calf health as a result of the management changes that were implemented.

**Significance**

We cautiously interpret these early results as evidence of the positive impact of the program on decreasing the rate of new *M. paratuberculosis* infections. The program is both effective and affordable. Herd owners are encouraged by their success and enthusiastic about the program. This field trial is one of the first well-controlled studies of a specific paratuberculosis control program in multiple dairy herds. The program is applicable to dairy herds of any size that are confirmed *M. paratuberculosis*-infected and have a seroprevalence of 10% or higher.