This paper discusses the grading issue for a simulation game being played for the introductory operations management class. Evaluating performance of the game participants has been an issue of great interest and research amongst game administrators. Ultimately, however, whatever means of evaluation are used, the rating has to be turned into a class grade for the participant. For multiple sections playing the same game, an important issue is maintaining consistency in grading. This paper looks at one approach based on Bowman’s management coefficient approach that alleviates the consistency problem and automates the grading procedure.

INTRODUCTION

Management simulations as a learning vehicle have increasingly popular in recent years, especially in schools of business. Usually some proportion of a course’s total grade is based on the team’s or individual’s performance in the simulation exercise. In this paper we report an application of the management coefficients model of Bowman [1] to the problem of evaluating student performance on a simulation game. Frequently the success or failure of management simulation games in the classroom environment is dependent upon proper and fair simulation grading. Quite often the objectives given the participants are to maximize profits in the long run. However, this has proved to be insufficient. There has been some difficulty in deriving a single measure and several have indicated a preference for multiple measures of performance. For instance, Gray [6] purports the use of a linear combination of several measures, such as market share, profit performance, inventory performance, etc. to obtain a single such measure. Hand and Sims [7] utilize the technique of path analysis to investigate relationships among thirteen performance criteria. They were able to reduce the number of performance criteria down to two, viz., sales forecasting error and profit. Multiple performance criteria rankings can exert a positive influence on students by providing feedback necessary for improving several areas of decision making. However caution should be used against mechanically using these rankings in assigning grades.

One possible approach to obtaining such a performance measure is to identify all the variables, determine their relationships, find a suitable measurement scale, and assign suitable weights to each. This may be possible but is clearly a very costly effort without any guarantees of success. Another approach is to view the evaluation process more as an input-output model where the various criteria and environmental measurements act as inputs and overall simulation performance grade acts as the form of the output. Thus the former approach attempts to determine and justify the form of the relationships, the weighting of various criteria, and the interaction between the various environmental and performance measures. In the latter approach, the game administrator’s actual past decisions are used to determine the relationships between the inputs and the output, viz., the overall simulation performance grade. This approach is certainly more pragmatic than utopian in that it starts with the administrator’s actual decisions and builds a better system by eliminating the variability in those decisions.

This approach is certainly not new. Bowman [1] built such a model to solve the aggregate production and employment scheduling problem. Other approaches available at the time required a rather extensive cost collection and development of a rather elaborate mathematical model. On the other hand Bowman’s approach only employed multiple regression analysis to relate the data available to the manager at the time the decision was made to the actual made at that time. Paramount to developing and utilizing such an approach are two key assumptions: that the form of the cost structure is ‘U’ or “dish shaped”, and that the manager has not been consistently ‘off-center” or consistently biased. Thus, although there may be variations in decisions, the assumptions imply that experienced managers are aware of the criteria and the variables that influence the system and act accordingly.

Such an approach may be appropriate in many management simulation game situations to aid in determining the grade in a classroom environment. It would be appropriate where the game administrator was very familiar with the simulation and various criteria employed, and had also gained some experience in making grades in this environment. It is also basic that the criteria be quantifiable. Thus, a management coefficient model could be developed where the various performance measures would serve as independent variables and the past grading decisions would be the dependent variables. There are several advantages to such a method. The data for constructing the model is readily available from the simulation results. Secondly, the results can be easily computerized by simply adding a few statements to the simulation game program to print the final grade. Also, employing a coefficients model not only reduces variation in assigning grades within a class but also from class to class and semester to semester. In large multi-section courses such features would be most welcome.

AN APPLICATION OF THE MANAGEMENT COEFFICIENTS APPROACH FOR GRADING

To demonstrate the applicability of employing a management coefficients model to assist in grading student simulation performance, the approach was applied to a simulation game utilized in an introductory Operations management course. In this game evaluation points are awarded for such categories as market share, inventory management, cash management, profit performance, etc. The relationship between the evaluation points and the performance on each of these categories has been subjectively determined. Although the weights - both slopes and intercepts - for each of the criteria were initially subjectively assigned, the weights were altered over a period of several years in an effort to identify key managerial areas and areas of concern. The points for each category as well as the sum total are reported back to the participant at the end of each decision period in an effort to identify key managerial areas of concern.

While the current weighting is satisfactory, there still exists some discomfort in converting the sum of the reported evaluation points into a meaningful grade. This simulation employs three companies within independent industries with individuals making decisions for each company. Consequently there are considerable differences among the industries even though the total sales available to each industry are equal. In brief, it has been observed that some industries tend to be more competitive than others and as such two observations have been made: the more competitive industries tend to have lower average evaluation points but companies within these industries tend to do a better job in managing their companies. Since the evaluation points emphasize profits, non-competitive industries with higher profits end up with higher evaluation points despite paying little attention to other management areas. Thus there was a need felt to capture the varying degree of competition in different industries. The measure of industry competitiveness devised is elaborated below.

The players in the game primarily make four decisions related to the capacity utilization, the quality of the product, the distribution effort and the price charged for the product. While the first factor labeled capacity factor \((cf)\) addresses the supply side of the firm, the remaining three factors (the quality factor, \(qf\), the distribution factor, \(df\) and the price factor, \(pf\)) influence the demand for the product. In trying to compete for the market share, individual players either raise the \(qf\) and/or \(df\) and lower the \(pf\) in an attempt to procure higher sales for their firms. The impact of these three factors is captured in a composite factor called the Share of the Market Factor (SMF). The SMF is given by the following relationship:

\[
SMF = \frac{(qf \times df)}{pf}
\]

Higher is the SMF of a firm, the more is the effort being input in vying for market share. An average of the SMFs of the three competitors within an industry therefore gives an index of the competition within an industry. This is labeled the competitive index for an industry and represented by \(C\).

Prior to the development of the competitive index, the game administrator was forced to continually make subjective decisions on grades based on a variety of factors. This consumed a rather large proportion of time. To minimize this effort and to be more consistent in grading, a management coefficients model was constructed where measures of industry competitiveness and evaluation points served as independent variables and previous grading decisions served as the dependent variable. It was felt that there were two principal determinants in arriving at the grades: performance evaluation points and a measure of industry competitiveness. However, since the exact form of the relationship was unknown, stepwise regression was employed for variable selection. The data utilized for this analysis consisted of 83 observations from three different classes. The results of this analysis, not surprisingly, resulted in but one variable entering the solution yielding the following coefficient model:

\[
G = 67.36 + 0.0073 (C \times P)
\]

and \(G\), the grade points awarded. The correlation coefficient, \(r\), was quite high, 0.753, and the \(F\)-value, 107.06, for 82 residual degrees of freedom indicated a very high significance.

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

In an effort to check the validity of the model, the predicted grades were all individually compared to the actual grades given by the administrator. Wherever significant discrepancies occurred a more detailed analysis was made. The conclusion reached was that some errors were made in originally assigning grades and that the model gave more consistent grades than the administrator.

It should be pointed out that the model is only appropriate for this particular simulation with a similar sales pattern and for approximately the same duration, 7 to 14 decision periods. It reflects the attitude and opinions of this game administrator. However, the model does offer greater consistency in grading and considerably reduced the effort of assigning grades. It was also found that although this model only reflects the decisions of one administrator, other instructors also were found resorting to the model for grade determination. Thus, such a model can be utilized to obtain grading decisions from people who are knowledgeable of the simulation when the instructor using the simulation is not.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a management coefficients model was developed to assist in assigning grades for management simulation games where the game administrator’s actual decisions and various simulation results were utilized in determining the regression model. The model developed in this paper, although only appropriate for the particular simulation employed, has shown to be very successful since its implementation. It should be emphasized that the model is more facilitating in nature rather than optimal as it only reflects the attitude and opinions of the game administrator. What this paper does suggest is that a similar approach can be applied to other simulations and thereby relieve one of the burdens of administering a game, viz., assigning grades. Such an approach should also result in having more consistent grading.
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